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ABSTRACT
This article reviews the etiology and treatment of open gingival embrasures or black triangles. An open gingival embrasure or black triangle occurs as a result of a deficiency of papilla beneath the contact point. The treatment of open embrasures may require restorative, orthodontic and periodontal considerations depending on the underlying etiology. The authors reviewed a total of 42 articles including review of literature, radiographic, cross-sectional, and retrospective studies in Ovid search engine using the terms “open gingival embrasure,” “interdental papilla,” and “black triangle.” The studies provided information regarding etiology, diagnosis, and treatment of open embrasures. There are several risk factors leading to the development of open gingival embrasures. These factors include aging, periodontal disease, loss of height of the alveolar bone relative to the interproximal contact, length of embrasure area, root angulations, interproximal contact position, and triangular-shaped crowns. Treatment of open embrasures requires an interdisciplinary approach of orthodontic, periodontic, and restorative treatment.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE
Open gingival embrasures are complex esthetic and functional problems. An interdisciplinary team approach with the general dentist, orthodontist, and periodontist is critical. Management of open embrasures requires careful evaluation of the underlying etiology.

INTRODUCTION
Preserving papilla in the gingival embrasure of the esthetic zone is a key consideration in restorative and orthodontic treatment. Today, with an aging adult population with a history of periodontal disease, open gingival embrasures are a common occurrence. Black triangles occur in more than one-third of adults and therefore, should be discussed with the patient prior to initiating dental treatment. Not only are black triangles unesthetic, but they also contribute to retention of food debris, and can adversely affect the health of the periodontium. Understanding the underlying etiology and customizing patient treatment is essential to reducing the frequency and severity of open gingival embrasures. Gingival embrasure is defined as the embrasure cervical to the interproximal contact. It is open if the embrasure...
space is not completely filled by the gingiva (Figure 1). Open gingival embrasures are more common in the adult population and occur in 38% of the adult orthodontic patients. Open gingival embrasures occur in 15% of the general adolescent population and 41.9% in adolescent patients who are treated for maxillary incisor crowding. Open gingival embrasures are associated with periodontal disease, periodontal surgery, and orthognathic surgery, which could account for the higher prevalence in adults.

Esthetically, open gingival embrasures can affect a patient’s smile. In one study, orthodontists rated a 2-mm open gingival embrasure as noticeably less attractive than an ideal smile with normal gingival embrasure. Open gingival embrasures slightly greater than 3 mm were considered less attractive by both general dentists as well as the general population. It is important to note that open embrasures are visible and do not go unnoticed. Corrective action should be taken to minimize or prevent open embrasures. Restorative, periodontal, and orthodontic treatment planning can play a significant role in the closure of gingival embrasures (Figure 2).

The etiology of open gingival embrasures is multifactorial. Potential causes include dimensional
changes of papilla during orthodontic alignment, loss of periodontal attachment resulting in recession, loss of height of the alveolar bone relative to interproximal contact, length of embrasure area, root angulations, interproximal contact position, and triangular-shaped crowns (Figure 3). The interproximal contact between the maxillary central incisors is composed of the actual tooth contact and soft tissue papilla. Open embrasures are found to be age related. Studies have shown that patients over 20 years of age are more susceptible than people below 20 years of age. Open embrasures were found in 67% of the population over 20 years of age compared with 18% in the population under 20 years of age. This is because of the thinning of oral epithelium, decrease in keratinization, and reduction in papilla height as a result of aging. Age is a significant risk factor leading to wide and long embrasure spaces in adults. Embrasure morphology also plays a role in the occurrence of central papilla. Black triangles occur increasingly in short narrow, long narrow, long wide, and short wide embrasure morphologies.

ORTHODONTIC CONSIDERATIONS

Divergent roots have a strong association with open gingival embrasures. One study showed that mean root angulations in normal gingival embrasures converge at 3.65° and an increase in root divergence by 1° raises the probability of an open gingival embrasure from 14 to 21%. With orthodontic treatment, maxillary incisor roots could be paralleled to reduce or eliminate open gingival embrasures (Figure 4). Diverging roots can be caused by improper bracket placement. In adults with worn incisal edges, the bracket slot must be perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth and not parallel to the incisal edges. It is important to review the periapical radiograph prior to bracket placement, especially in patients with attrition. Bonding based on incisal position will result in greater root divergence, contributing to an open gingival embrasure. Bonding the bracket so that the slot is perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth will allow roots to converge, and may require the worn distoincisal edges to be restored. As roots become more parallel, the contact point will lengthen and move apically toward the papilla. The crowns of each incisor will move closer, causing the stretched transeptal fibers to relax and fill in the gingival embrasure. This will reduce the probability and severity of open embrasures.

Patients with triangular crown morphology are more susceptible to open embrasure spaces (Figure 5A). The crowns of the central incisors can be much wider incisally than cervically, resulting in an abnormal contact position. Interproximal contact between central incisors is located at the incisal 1 mm of the crown. Interproximal reduction (IPR) of enamel on triangular crowns will convert a point contact to a broader contact area that will reduce open gingival embrasures (Figure 5B,C). One method for correction of the black triangle is the reduction of interproximal enamel with a reducing diamond strip to recontour the mesial surfaces of the central incisors (Figure 6).
Typically, 0.5 to 0.75 mm of the enamel is removed with IPR.9 IPR and space closure will lengthen the contact point and move the contact gingivally.

Gingival embrasures are affected by the direction of tooth movement and by the labiolingual thickness of the supporting bone and soft tissue, following orthodontic treatment. During lingual tooth movement, the gingival tissue will thicken and move occlusally on the facial aspect of the tooth. In contrast, moving a tooth labially will cause the tissue to thin and move apically.10 Therefore, patients with a Class II Division 2 malocclusion would be more prone to open gingival embrasure spaces than Class II Division 1 with flared incisors. Labial movement of palatally placed and imbricated teeth may also be predisposed to gingival recession following orthodontic treatment.

Imbricated incisors are arranged in an overlapping manner so that one incisor may be placed palatally or labially, relative to the other incisor (Figure 7). Interestingly, maxillary incisor imbrication and rotation have a controversial association with open gingival embrasure spaces.1,3,10 Orthodontists are recommended to inform patients with imbricated maxillary incisors that they may be predisposed to an open gingival embrasure following orthodontic treatment.

Severity of crowding plays only a minimal role in open embrasures.
Ko-Kimura et al.\textsuperscript{2} found that open embrasures occurred in a similar percentage of patients with incisor crowding of less than 4 mm and those with 4 to 8 mm of incisor crowding. When crowding was more than 8 mm, the occurrence of open gingival embrasures increased by 7%. However, these results were considered insignificant. In addition, duration of orthodontic treatment had no effect on open gingival embrasures.

The volume of soft tissue in the gingival embrasure will depend on existing tissue, bone levels, and the severity of the diastema. Closing a diastema orthodontically will compress the soft tissue to come together and fill in the embrasure area (Figure 8). Minor diastema closure during the retention phase can be simplified with a removable orthodontic appliance (Figure 9). However, large diastema closure may result in an open gingival embrasure requiring additional orthodontic and/or restorative treatment.

Figure 7. Imbricated incisors have been associated with black triangles. A, Mesially rotated-out overlapping maxillary incisors initially. B, Orthodontic movement will require lingual movement of the mesial of the maxillary central incisors and, therefore, has a lower probability of black triangles. C, Mesial rotated-in overlapping maxillary incisors initially. D, Orthodontic movement will require labial movement of the mesial of the central incisors that has been linked to black triangles.

Periodontal considerations
Periodontal disease has been associated with loss of the interdental papilla because of alveolar bone loss. Although the occurrence of plaque and gingivitis is probably higher in people with crowding, host susceptibility and other factors may also play a role in open embrasures, especially in patients who have been previously
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Figure 8. Diastema closure and regeneration of papilla. A, Pretreatment with diastema. B, Orthodontic closure of space. C, Formation of papilla to fill gingival embrasure.

Figure 9. Limited orthodontic treatment to close a diastema. A, Pretreatment with diastema. B, Modified maxillary Hawley retainer with extended arms. Elastics (3/8", 3.5 oz; 3M Unitek, Monrovia, CA, USA) are worn across the teeth from right arm to left arm of the retainer. C, Posttreatment after 4 weeks of treatment.

treated for periodontal disease. Increased periodontal maintenance and oral hygiene is very important to prevent bone loss and recession. A distance of 5 mm from the alveolar crest to contact point is considered periodontally healthy. However, pocket depths greater than 3 mm will lead to increased plaque retention, inflammation, and recession. In periodontal disease, it is the loss of bone that
increases the distance between contact point and alveolar crest, resulting in an open gingival embrasure.

The distance from the base of the contact point to the alveolar crest in central incisors is a strong indicator of open embrasures (Figure 10A). A classic study by Tarnow et al.12 found an association between black triangles and the distance of the contact point to the alveolar crest of the bone. Another study observed that a distance of 5, 6, and 7 mm resulted in an open embrasure in 2, 44, and 73% of the cases, respectively.7 These observations indicate that, if the distance from the alveolar crest to contact point was 5 mm or less, papilla was present in almost 100% of the cases. However, when the distance was more than 7 mm, papilla was missing in most of the cases. At 6 mm, papilla was present in half of the cases.7 Other studies7,13 have shown similar results (Figure 10B). Adult patients with open gingival embrasures have increased alveolar bone–interproximal contact distance of 5.5 mm or more.1 A 1-mm increase in distance between the alveolar bone and interproximal contact increases the probability of an open gingival embrasure by 78 to 97%. As a rule, a distance between 5 and 6 mm from contact point to alveolar crest is most critical and determines the presence or absence of an open gingival embrasure.7

Chronic periodontitis and tooth-brush trauma may also cause open embrasures. If the loss of papillary height is because of trauma during tooth brushing, interproximal cleaning should be discontinued until the tissue can recover.14 Currently, there are no predictable surgical procedures to augment papilla.15–21 Surgical papillary reconstruction may result in contraction and necrosis of the grafted tissue. The unpredictability is because of tissue fragility and low blood supply to interdental papilla.7 However, case studies22,23 have demonstrated some success with subepithelial connective tissue grafts and orthodontic therapy. Flap pedicles have shown better results than free gingival grafts.7 For surgical success, it is important that there is the presence of a thick biotype gingiva and there is no loss of insertion at the periodontal attachment.14 Patients with a thin biotype of gingiva are more susceptible to recession and therefore, to open gingival embrasures.
Patients with thin periodontium are shown to have long narrow upper central incisors, whereas patients with a thick biotype have short and wide central incisors. In addition, the thick periodontal biotype has a thick osseous structure with flat morphology and a thick gingival tissue with short wide papilla. In contrast, the thin biotype is characterized by a scalloped appearance with long interdental papilla. Typically, the thick biotype has a better vascular supply and biological tissue memory that helps the tissue to rebound, whereas the thin biotype usually results in permanent recession. Interdental gingival recession may occur, causing reduced height and thickness of the free gingiva, with a resulting long clinical crown. This recession is precipitated by plaque and toothbrush trauma. Nontraumatic plaque control is recommended for patients susceptible to black triangles.

**Restorative Considerations**

There are several considerations in planning restorative treatment for large open embrasures. Mesio cervical restorations or veneers will reduce the appearance of open embrasures by altering the crown form. The composite resin can be inserted into the gingival sulcus to guide the shape of the interdental papilla, much like a provisional crown for an implant. Care must be taken not to impinge on the interdental tissue or harbor plaque. Typically, maxillary central incisors have an 80% width : height ratio, which is considered ideal. Restorative treatment alone to reduce a large space may result in divergence of this ratio, resulting in an unsatisfactory treatment outcome. A combination of orthodontic and restorative treatment may be required.

Restorative treatment requires maintaining an appropriate ratio of crown height between connector and central incisor. The connector is where teeth appear to contact, the contact point is where the teeth actually connect. The connector of maxillary anterior teeth has a proportional relationship to the height of the central incisors (Figure 11). The ratio of connector to tooth height for the central, lateral, and canine is 50, 40, and 30%, respectively. Teeth with greater crown height will have longer connectors. Also, embrasures are smaller between the central incisors and increases progressively toward the posterior. To hide severe tissue defects, application of pink-colored porcelain or a removable appliance is recommended. A comprehensive understanding of anterior esthetics is critical in determining the appropriate treatment.

Single tooth implants have a significant chance of papilla loss because of increasing distance from the contact point to the alveolar crest. To preserve implant papilla, it is important to keep the distance from the contact point to bone level at 5 mm or less. The distance of adjacent natural tooth to the alveolar crests is most critical, whereas the height of the implant contact to the bone is less important.
showed the presence of papilla at 100 and 50% level in healthy teeth when the distance from the alveolar crest to the contact point of single implant in the maxillary anterior was at 5 and 6 mm, respectively. Above 7 mm, a significant increase in black triangles was observed. Some clinicians believe that tissue healing around an immediate provisional abutment helps in proper tissue contouring. Ryser et al. has demonstrated that there is no difference in papilla loss if an implant has immediate provisionalization. Extrusion of a tooth prior to implant placement will allow the bone to extrude with the tooth, resulting in an increase of soft tissue. Tooth extrusion can be performed with intermaxillary elastics and a clear removable appliance (Figure 12). However, previous tissue loss prior to implant placement will usually result in an open embrasure following final restoration.

Jemt found that the volume of soft tissue around anterior single tooth implants can be expected to undergo soft tissue shrinkage on the buccal; however, there is an increase in soft tissue volume in 80% of cases after 1.5 years. Similarly, Grunder et al. demonstrated a 0.375-mm increase in soft tissue volume after 1 year, although 0.6 mm of soft tissue shrinkage occurred on the buccal side of the implant crown. In order to compensate for the buccal soft tissue shrinkage, the implant clinical crown length should be 0.5 to 0.75 mm shorter at the time of crown insertion.

Black triangles are even more pronounced when two adjacent implants are placed. This soft tissue deficiency of 1 to 2 mm arises from the biological width around an implant being apical to the platform for the abutment. As a result, the biological width of implants is found subcrestally instead of supracrestally, as is the case in natural teeth. Ideally, maxillary anterior implants should be 4 mm apical to the alveolar crestal bone. Furthermore, to prevent bone loss and subsequent papilla loss, it is important that the distance between two adjacent implants exceeds 3 mm. This allows the interproximal bone to be maintained above the implant shoulder. In the anterior region, it is difficult to obtain this ideal mesio-distal distance. One method for compensating the loss of interproximal bone is to augment the buccal bone in the papillary area. However, a distance greater than 3 mm will not ensure a complete papilla. There are several considerations that may not allow papilla regeneration but may help prevent additional interproximal bone loss. Placing two adjacent implants in an esthetic zone should be avoided to prevent bone loss.

Figure 12. Extrusion of the maxillary right lateral incisor to maximize bone and soft tissue prior to implant placement. A, Mobility and devitalized maxillary right lateral incisor as a result of trauma was the treatment planned for extraction and implant. B, A thermoformable plier can create buttons on the buccal of a clear aligner tray to provide attachments for elastics. C, A button is bonded to the maxillary right lateral incisor for extrusion. The patient is instructed to wear an elastic from the mandibular clear aligner to the maxillary lateral incisor. The patient returns every 2 weeks for incisal reduction of the lateral to allow further extrusion.
loss and the inevitable appearance of a black triangle. Therefore, two healthy adjacent teeth, or one implant and a healthy tooth, will have a more favorable esthetic treatment outcome. Recommended treatment for patients missing two adjacent teeth in the esthetic zone includes placing an implant with a cantilever pontic and performing soft tissue graft, interproximal bone augmentation, or a three unit bridge involving the implant.\textsuperscript{34}

**CONCLUSION**

The etiology of open gingival embrasure is multifactorial. Increased distance between alveolar bone-interproximal contact and divergent root angulars appears to be the most significant factor in open gingival embrasure. To determine the ideal treatment for the patient, the dentist must first evaluate whether it is a soft tissue or a hard tissue problem. An interdisciplinary team approach including general dentist, orthodontist, periodontist, and prosthodontist is critical for restoration of open gingival embrasures.
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